

# A GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM OF BERNARD CONCERNING THE FRONTAL SETS

CONSTANTIN P. NICULESCU, GAVRIL PĂLTINEANU AND DAN T. VUZA

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a frontal set with respect to a vector subspace of a space  $C(X)$  was introduced by Alain Bernard [1] as a generalization of the concept of intersection of peak sets with respect to a subalgebra of  $C(X)$ .

Based on a new characterization of frontal sets (see Theorem 2.10 below) we shall further extend some of his fundamental results to ideals in locally convex lattices. To be more specific, let  $E$  be a real, metrizable, locally convex, locally solid vector lattice and let  $\mathcal{V}_0$  be a basis of convex and solid neighborhoods of the origin. Typical examples of such spaces are the metrizable weighted spaces, as described in section 4 below. See also [7].

A closed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $E$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{V}_0$ -frontal ideal (with respect to a vector subspace  $F$  of  $E$ ) if for every pair of elements  $x \in F$  and  $y \in E_+$ , with  $(|x| - y)_+ \in \mathcal{I}$ , and every  $V \in \mathcal{V}_0$  there exists an  $\bar{x} \in F$  such that

$$x - \bar{x} \in \mathcal{I} \quad \text{and} \quad (|\bar{x}| - y)_+ \in V.$$

Our main result is the following generalization of the Theorem 4 in [1]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a complete vector subspace of  $E$ , let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\mathcal{V}_0$ -frontal ideal with respect to  $F$  and let  $p$  be a continuous seminorm of AM-type such that*

$$p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) = \inf \{p(x + u) : u \in \mathcal{I}\} > 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

*Then for every  $x \in F$  and  $y \in E_+$  with  $(|x| - y)_+ \in \mathcal{I}$  and every  $V \in \mathcal{V}_0$  there exists an  $\bar{x} \in F$  such that*

$$x - \bar{x} \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (|\bar{x}| - y)_+ \in V \quad \text{and} \quad p(\bar{x}) = p_{\mathcal{I}}(x).$$

Applications in the framework of weighted spaces are given in section 4.

## 2. GENERALITIES

Throughout this section  $X$  will denote a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(X)$  will denote the Banach space of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ , equipped with the sup norm.

We shall denote by  $A^\circ$  the polar set of any subset  $A$  of  $C(X)$  and by  $B_r$  the open ball

$$B_r = \{f \in C(X) : \|f\| < r\}, \quad r > 0.$$

Also, for every subset  $K$  of  $X$ , we shall denote by  $\chi_K$  the characteristic function of  $K$  and by  $\mathcal{I}_K$  the ideal of all functions  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f|_K = 0$ .

---

Published in *Proc. of the 4th International Conference on Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory*, Acquafredda di Maratea (Potenza, Italy), September 22-28, 2000. Redinconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Suppl. **68** (2002), 699-710.

Our first result concerns the *restriction operator*,

$$R_K : C(X) \rightarrow C(K), \quad R_K(f) = f|K.$$

**2.1 Lemma.** *Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two vector subspaces of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $\chi_K F^\circ \subset G^\circ$ . Then*

$$(B_r|K) \cap (G|K) \subset \overline{(B_r \cap F)|K}.$$

*Proof.* According to the bipolar theorem it is sufficient to prove that

$$[(B_r \cap F)|K]^\circ \subset [(B_r|K) \cap (G|K)]^\circ.$$

For, let  $\mu \in [(B_r \cap F)|K]^\circ$ . Then  $R'_K(\mu) \in (B_r \cap F)^\circ \subset B_r^\circ + F^\circ$ , where  $R'_K$  denotes the adjoint of  $R_K$ . Thus there exists a  $\nu \in B_r^\circ$  such that  $\nu - R'_K(\mu) \in F^\circ$ . Since  $\chi_K F^\circ \subset G^\circ$ , it follows that  $\chi_K \nu - R'_K(\mu) \in G^\circ$ . Let  $f \in [(B_r|K) \cap (G|K)]^\circ$  and chose  $g_1 \in B_r$  and  $g_2 \in F$  such that

$$f = g_1|K = g_2|K.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f) &= \mu(g_1|K) = (\chi_K \nu)(g_1) - [\chi_K \nu - R'_K(\mu)](g_1) \\ &= (\chi_K \nu)(g_1) - [\chi_K \nu - R'_K(\mu)](g_2) = (\chi_K \nu)(g_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nu \in B_r^\circ$  and  $B_r^\circ$  is a solid set, it follows that  $\chi_K \nu \in B_r^\circ$ , hence  $\operatorname{Re} \mu(f) \leq 1$ . Consequently,  $\mu \in [(B_r|K) \cap (G|K)]^\circ$ , which ends the proof. ■

**2.2 Corollary.** *Let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $\chi_K F^\circ \subset F^\circ$ . Then*

$$(B_r|K) \cap (F|K) \subset \overline{(B_r \cap F)|K}.$$

**2.3 Corollary.** *Let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $\chi_K F^\circ \subset \{0\}$ . Then*

$$B_r|K \subset \overline{(B_r \cap F)|K}.$$

**2.4 Lemma.** *Let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $\chi_K F^\circ \subset F^\circ$ . If  $F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  is complete then  $(B_r|K) \cap (F|K) \subset (B_1 \cap F)|K$  for every  $0 < r < 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < r < t < 1$  be fixed and put

$$r_n = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \frac{t-r}{2^{n-1}}, & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n$ . Let  $f \in (B_r|K) \cap (F|K)$ . According to Corollary 2.2,  $f \in \overline{(B_r \cap F)|K}$ . Since  $(B_{r_2}|K) \cap (F|K)$  is a neighborhood of  $f$  with respect to the relative topology of  $F|K$ , there exists a  $g_2 \in B_{r_2} \cap F$  such that

$$f - g_1|K - g_2|K \in (B_{r_3}|K) \cap (F|K).$$

By induction, we find a  $g_n \in B_{r_n} \cap F$  such that

$$f - \sum_{i=1}^n g_i|K \in (B_{r_{n+1}}|K) \cap (F|K).$$

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{R_K} & F|K \\ \varphi_K \searrow & & \nearrow \rho_K \\ & F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K & \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi_K$  is the canonical mapping and  $\rho_K$  is defined by the formula

$$\rho_K(\hat{h}) = h|K.$$

It is easily seen that  $\rho_K$  is well defined, one-to-one and continuous. Since  $\|\hat{g}_i\| \leq \|g_i\| < r_i$  for every  $i$ , it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^p \hat{g}_{n+i} \right\| < \frac{t-r}{2^{n-1}}, \quad \text{for every } p \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

hence the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_n$  is a Cauchy series in the complete space  $F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$ . Let  $g \in F$  be such that

$$\hat{g} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_n.$$

By the continuity of  $\rho_K$  we have  $\rho_K(\hat{g}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_K(\hat{g}_n)$  and thus  $g|K = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_n|K$ . Since  $\|f - \sum_{i=1}^n g_i|K\| < r_{n+1}$  it follows that

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n|K = g|K.$$

On the other hand

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i \right\| < \sum_{i=1}^n r_i < t$$

which yields  $\|\hat{g}\| \leq t < 1$ .

Let  $\bar{u} \in F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  be such that  $\|g + \bar{u}\| < 1$ . Since  $g + \bar{u} \in F \cap B_1$  and  $(g + \bar{u})|K = g|K = f$ , it results that  $f \in (F \cap B_1)|K$  and so the proof is complete. ■

**2.5 Corollary.** *Let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $\chi_K F^\circ = \{0\}$ . If  $F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  is complete, then  $B_r|K \subset (F \cap B_1)|K$  for every  $r \in (0, 1)$ .*

Corollary 2.5 extends Bishop's lemma [2].

The following notion was introduced by Alain Bernard [1] in connection with the diagram which appeared in the proof of Lemma 2.4.

**2.6 Definition.** A closed subset  $K$  of  $X$  is said to be an *interpolating set* (respectively a *strictly interpolating set*) with respect to the vector subspace  $F$  of  $C(X)$  if  $\rho_K$  is an isomorphism (respectively, an isometric isomorphism).

Clearly, if  $F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  is complete and  $F|K$  is closed in  $C(K)$ , then  $K$  is an interpolating set with respect to  $F$ .

**2.7 Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  is complete and  $\chi_K F^\circ \subset F^\circ$ . Then given  $f \in F$ ,  $g \in C(X)$ ,  $g \geq 0$ , with  $|f| \leq g$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\bar{f} \in F$  with the following properties:*

$$\bar{f}|K = f|K \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{f}| \leq g + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Let  $r = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x)|}{g(x)+\varepsilon}; x \in K \right\}$  and choose  $r' \in (r, 1)$ .

The vector space

$$H = \{h \in C(X); (g + \varepsilon)h \in F\}$$

verifies the conditions:  $H^\circ = (g + \varepsilon)F^\circ$ ,  $\chi_K H^\circ \subset H^\circ$  and  $H/H \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  is complete. According to Lemma 2.2 above,

$$(B_{r'}|K) \cap (H|K) \subset (B_1 \cap H)|K.$$

As  $f/(g + \varepsilon)|K \in (B_{r'}|K) \cap (H|K)$ , it follows that there exists a  $h \in B_1 \cap H$  such that  $f/(g + \varepsilon)|K = h|K$ .

Then  $\bar{f} = h(g + \varepsilon)$ . has all properties as required in the statement of Theorem 2.7. ■

The following result is a slight generalization of Bishop's interpolation theorem [2]:

**2.8 Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  is complete and  $\chi_K F^\circ = \{0\}$ . Then, given  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $g \in C(X)$ ,  $g \geq 0$ , with  $|f| \leq g$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\bar{f} \in F$  with the following properties:*

$$\bar{f}|K = f|K \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{f}| \leq g + \varepsilon.$$

Particularly,  $F|K = C(K)$ .

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7, except for using Corollary 2.5 instead of Lemma 2.4.

Let us now recall the concept of a frontal set as introduced by Alain Bernard [1]:

**2.9 Definition.** A closed subset  $K$  of  $X$  is said to be a *frontal set* with respect to the vector subspace  $F$  of  $C(X)$  if for every  $f \in F$ , every pair  $(\varepsilon, \eta)$  of strictly positive numbers and every neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$  there exists a  $\bar{f} \in F$  such that

$$\bar{f}|K = f|K, \quad \|\bar{f}\|_X \leq \|f\|_K + \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{f}\|_{X \setminus V} \leq \varepsilon.$$

**2.10 Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $F/F \cap \mathcal{I}_K$  is complete. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

**Theorem 2.1.** (i)  $K$  is a frontal set with respect to  $F$ ;

(ii)  $\chi_K F^\circ \subset F^\circ$ ;

(iii)  $K$  is a strictly interpolating set with respect to the space  $hF$ , for every  $h \in C(X)$ , with  $h \neq 0$  on  $X$ ;

(iv) Given  $f \in F$ ,  $g \in C(X)$ , with  $g \geq 0$  and  $|f| \leq g$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\bar{f} \in F$  such that

$$\bar{f}|K = f|K \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{f}| \leq g + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3 in [1], we know that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

Suppose there given  $f \in F$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $V$  a neighborhood of  $K$ . Put  $\delta = \min\{\varepsilon, \eta\}$ . According to Uryson's lemma, there exists a continuous function  $g : X \rightarrow [\delta, \|f\|_K + \delta]$  such that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \|f\|_K + \delta, & \text{if } x \in K \\ \delta, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus V. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $g - \delta \geq 0$  on  $X$  and  $|f| \leq g - \delta$  on  $K$ .

From (iv) it follows that there exists an  $\bar{f} \in F$  with the properties

$$\bar{f}|K = f|K \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{f}| \leq (g - \delta) + \delta = g \text{ on } X.$$

Consequently, for  $x \in K$  we have  $|\bar{f}(x)| \leq \|f\|_K + \delta \leq \|f\|_K + \eta$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in X \setminus V$ , then  $|f(x)| \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon$ , hence  $\|\bar{f}\|_{X \setminus V} \leq \varepsilon$  and this concludes the proof. ■

3.  $\mathcal{V}_0$ -FRONTAL IDEALS

Our generalization of the concept of a frontal ideal is motivated by Theorem 2.10 above.

Let  $E$  be a real metrizable, locally convex, locally solid vector lattice and let  $\mathcal{V}_0$  be a basis of convex and solid neighborhoods of the origin.

**3.1 Definition.** A closed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $E$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{V}_0$ -frontal ideal with respect to the vector subspace  $F$  of  $E$  if for every pair of elements  $x \in F$  and  $y \in E_+$ , with  $(|x| - y)_+ \in \mathcal{I}$ , and every  $V \in \mathcal{V}_0$  there exists an  $\bar{x} \in F$  such that

$$x - \bar{x} \in \mathcal{I} \quad \text{and} \quad (|\bar{x}| - y)_+ \in V.$$

If  $E = C(X)$ , then a closed subset  $K$  of  $X$  is a frontal set with respect to the vector subspace  $F$  of  $C(X)$  if and only if the closed ideal  $\mathcal{I}_K = \{f \in C(X) : f|_K = 0\}$  is a frontal ideal in the sense of the Definition 3.1. Indeed, it is sufficient to notice that  $(|f| - g)_+ \in \mathcal{I}_K$  if and only if

$$|f| \leq g \text{ on } K \text{ and } \|(|\bar{f}| - g)_+\| < \varepsilon \iff |\bar{f}| \leq g + \varepsilon \text{ on } X.$$

**3.2 Theorem** (A. Bernard [1]). *Let  $F$  be a closed vector subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- i)  $K$  is a frontal set with respect to  $F$ ;
- ii) For every  $f \in F$ , every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$  there exists an  $\bar{f}$  such that

$$\bar{f}|_K = f|_K, \|\bar{f}\|_X \leq \|f\|_K \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{f}\|_{X \setminus V} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Now we return to the general case. Let  $E$  be a real, metrizable, locally convex, locally solid vector lattice, and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a closed ideal of  $E$ . Given a continuous seminorm  $p$  on  $E$  we associate to it the quotient seminorm

$$p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) = \inf \{p(x + u) : u \in \mathcal{I}\}, \quad x \in E.$$

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3.2:

**3.3 Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a complete vector subspace of  $E$  and let  $\mathcal{V}_0$  be a basis of convex and solid neighborhoods of the origin. Suppose there are given a  $\mathcal{V}_0$ -frontal ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (with respect to  $F$ ), two elements  $x \in F$ ,  $y \in E_+$  such that  $(|x| - y)_+ \in \mathcal{I}$ , a neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{V}_0$  and a continuous seminorm  $p$  of (AM)-type on  $E$  such that  $p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) > 0$ . Then there exists an  $\bar{x} \in F$  with the following properties:*

$$\bar{x} - x \in \mathcal{I}, (|\bar{x}| - y)_+ \in V \quad \text{and} \quad p(x) = p_{\mathcal{I}}(x).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  such that  $\bar{V}_1 \subset V$  and  $V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subset V_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . We shall exhibit two sequences  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset F$  and  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^*$  with the following properties:

- a)  $x_n - x \in \mathcal{I}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ ;
- b)  $p(x_n) \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n)p_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$  and  $\varepsilon_n \leq 1/n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ ;
- c)  $(|x_n| - y)_+ \in V_2 + \dots + V_{n+1}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ ;
- d)  $x_n - x_{n-1} \in V_n$  for every  $n \geq 2$ ;
- e)  $\varepsilon_n x_n \in \frac{1}{3}V_{n+1}$  and  $\varepsilon_n y \in \frac{1}{9}V_{n+1}$  for every  $n \geq 0$ .

From d) we can infer that  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence. As  $F$  is complete, there must exist  $\bar{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ . From a) it follows that  $\bar{x} - x \in \mathcal{I}$ , while from b) it follows that  $p(\bar{x}) \leq p_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$ . On the other hand,

$$p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) \leq p(x + \bar{x} - x) = p(\bar{x})$$

and thus  $p(\bar{x}) = p_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$ .

From c) it follows that  $(|x_n| - y)_+ \in V_2 + V_2 \subset \bar{V}_1 \subset V$ .

We pass now to the construction of the sequences  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . Put  $u_0 = 0$  and choose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_0 y \in \frac{1}{9} V_1$ . Then choose  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1]$  such that  $\varepsilon_1 y \in \frac{1}{9} V_2$ . According to the definition of  $p_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$ , we can find a  $u_1 \in \mathcal{I}$  such that

$$p(x + u_1) \leq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}) p_{\mathcal{I}}(x).$$

Put  $y_1 = |x + u_1| \wedge y$ . We shall show that

$$(|x| - y_1)_+ \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow E/\mathcal{I}$  be the canonical morphism. Proving the above relation amounts to show that  $\pi((|x| - y_1)_+) = 0$ . It is well known that  $\pi$  is a lattice homomorphism, therefore  $0 = \pi((|x| - y_1)_+) = (|\pi(x)| - \pi(y))_+$ , hence  $|\pi(x)| \leq \pi(y)$  which in turn implies  $\pi(y_1) = |\pi(x)| \wedge \pi(y) = |\pi(x)|$  concluding thus the proof of the assertion.

As  $p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) > 0$  and  $p$  is a continuous seminorm on  $E$ , it follows that there exists a  $W \in \mathcal{V}_0$  such that

$$p(z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) \quad \text{for every } z \in W.$$

Let  $W' \in \mathcal{V}_0$  such that  $W' \subset W \cap \frac{1}{9} V_2$ . As  $\mathcal{I}$  is a frontal ideal with respect to  $F$ ,  $x \in F$  and  $(|x| - y_1)_+ \in \mathcal{I}$ , we infer the existence of a  $v_1 \in F$  with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 - x &\in \mathcal{I}; \\ (|v_1| - y_1)_+ &\in W'; \\ p((|v_1| - y_1)_+) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} p_{\mathcal{I}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $x_1 = v_1$ , we can verify easily that  $x_1$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  verify the conditions a)-e) above. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x &= v_1 - x \in \mathcal{I}; \\ |x_1| &= |v_1| = (|v_1| - y_1)_+ + y_1 \leq (|v_1| - y_1)_+ + |x + u_1|. \end{aligned}$$

As  $p$  is a solid seminorm, the last relation yields

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1) &\leq p((|v_1| - y_1)_+) + p(x + u_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) + (1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}) p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon_1) p_{\mathcal{I}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For c), notice that  $(|x_1| - y)_+ \in V_2$  is a consequence of the fact that  $V_2$  is a solid set and

$$(|x_1| - y)_+ \leq (|v_1| - y_1)_+ + (y_1 - y)_+ = (|v_1| - y_1)_+ \in \frac{1}{9} V_2 \subset V_2.$$

The condition e) has a similar motivation, due to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 |x_1| &\leq (|v_1| - y_1)_+ + \varepsilon_1 y_1 \\ &\leq (|v_1| - y_1)_+ + \varepsilon_1 y \in \frac{1}{9} V_2 + \frac{1}{9} V_2 \subset \frac{1}{3} V_2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$  and  $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$  are already chosen with the properties a)-e). Then pick an  $\varepsilon_n \in (0, 1/n]$  such that

$$\varepsilon_n x_{n-1} \in \frac{1}{9} V_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_n y \in \frac{1}{9} V_{n+1}.$$

Next, choose  $u_n \in \mathcal{I}$  such that

$$p(x + u_n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}\right) p_{\mathcal{I}}(x).$$

The element  $y_n$  defined by

$$y_n = \left( |x + u_n| - \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} \right)_+ \wedge \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} y$$

verifies the relation

$$(1) \quad \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} |x| - y_n \right)_+ \in \mathcal{I}.$$

which can be seen by using a similar argument based on the lattice homomorphism  $\pi$  as above.

From the continuity of  $p$  and the fact that  $p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) > 0$  we can derive the existence of a  $U \in \mathcal{V}_0$  such that

$$p(z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) \text{ for every } z \in U.$$

Choose  $U' \in \mathcal{V}_0$  such that  $U' \subset U \cap \frac{1}{9} V_{n+1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is a frontal ideal with respect to  $F$  and

$$x \in F \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} |x| - y_n \right)_+ \in \mathcal{I}$$

we infer the existence of a  $v_n \in F$  with the following properties:

$$(3.3) \quad v_n - \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} x \in \mathcal{I};$$

$$(3.4) \quad (|v_n| - y_n)_+ \in U';$$

$$(3.5) \quad p((|v_n| - y_n)_+) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} p_{\mathcal{I}}(x).$$

Letting  $x_n = \frac{x_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} + v_n$ , we have completed a pair of sequences  $(\varepsilon_k)_{k=1}^n$  and  $(x_k)_{k=1}^n$  which verify the conditions a)-e) above. In fact,

$$x_n - x = \frac{x_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} + v_n - x = \frac{x_{n-1} - x}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} + \left( v_n - \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} x \right) \in \mathcal{I}$$

which yields a). As for b),

$$|x_n| \leq \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} + (|v_n| - y_n)_+ + y_n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &\leq \left( |x + u_n| - \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} \right) \vee 0 \\ y_n + \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} &\leq |x + u_n| \vee \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|x_n| \leq \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} \vee |x + u_n| + (|v_n| - y_n)_+.$$

As  $p$  is a solid seminorm of  $(AM)$ -type, we are led to

$$p(x_n) \leq \max \left\{ p(x + u_n), \frac{p(x_{n-1})}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} \right\} + p((|v_n| - y_n)_+).$$

Taking into account (3.1) and (3.5) and the fact that  $p(x_{n-1}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}) p_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$ , it follows that

$$p(x_n) \leq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}) p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) = (1 + \varepsilon_n) p_{\mathcal{I}}(x).$$

For c), notice first that

$$\begin{aligned} (|x_n| - y)_+ &\leq \left( \frac{|x_{n-1}|}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} + |v_n| - y \right)_+ \\ &= \left( \frac{|x_{n-1}| - y}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} + |v_n| - \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} y \right)_+ \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} ((|x_{n-1}| - y)_+ + \left( |v_n| - \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} y \right)_+ ) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} ((|x_{n-1}| - y)_+ + (|v_n| - y_n)_+). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(|x_{n-1}| - y)_+ \in V_2 + \dots + V_n$  and  $(|v_n| - y_n)_+ \in V_{n+1}$  it follows that

$$(|x_n| - y)_+ \in V_2 + \dots + V_n + V_{n+1}.$$

The verification of condition d) is a consequence of the fact that  $V_n$  is solid. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x_{n-1}| &= \left| v_n - \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}} x_{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{n-1} |x_{n-1}| + y_n + |v_n| - y_n \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{n-1} |x_{n-1}| + \varepsilon_{n-1} y + (|v_n| - y_n)_+ \in \frac{1}{3} V_n + \frac{1}{9} V_n + \frac{1}{9} V_{n+1} \subset V_n. \end{aligned}$$

For e), we have to notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n |x_n| &\leq \varepsilon_n |x_{n-1}| + \varepsilon_n (|v_n| - y_n) + \varepsilon_n y_n \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n |x_{n-1}| + \varepsilon_n (|v_n| - y_n)_+ + \varepsilon_n y. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the definition of  $\varepsilon_n$  and the relation (3.4), we obtain that

$$\varepsilon_n |x_{n-1}| + \varepsilon_n (|v_n| - y_n)_+ + \varepsilon_n y \in \frac{1}{9} V_{n+1} + \frac{1}{9} V_{n+1} + \frac{1}{9} V_{n+1} \subset \frac{1}{3} V_{n+1}$$

which yields  $\varepsilon_n x_n \in \frac{1}{3} V_{n+1}$ . ■

It is worth to explain how Theorem 3.3 extends Theorem 3.2.

For, let  $E = C(X)$ ,  $F$  a closed vector subspace of  $E$ ,  $K \subset X$  a frontal set (with respect to  $F$ ),  $f \in F$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $V$  a neighborhood of  $K$  and  $p = \|\cdot\|_X$ . According to Uryson's lemma, there exists a continuous function  $g : X \rightarrow [\varepsilon/2, \|f\|_K]$  such that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \|f\|_K, & \text{for } x \in K \\ \varepsilon/2, & \text{for } x \in X \setminus V. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $|f| \leq g$  on  $K$ , hence  $(|f| - g)_+ \in \mathcal{I}_K$ . Letting

$$U = \{h \in C(K) : \|h\| < \varepsilon/2\},$$

then  $U$  is a neighborhood of the origin of  $E$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_K$  is a frontal ideal with respect to  $F$ , Theorem 3.3 yields a  $\bar{f} \in F$  such that

$$\bar{f} - f \in \mathcal{I}_K, (|\bar{f}| - g)_+ \in U \text{ and } \|\bar{f}\|_X = p_{\mathcal{I}_K}(f).$$

Accordingly,

$$\bar{f}|K = f|K \text{ and } |\bar{f}(x)| \leq g(x) + \varepsilon/2 \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

In particular, for  $x \in X \setminus V$  we have  $|\bar{f}(x)| \leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$ , hence  $\|\bar{f}\|_{X \setminus V} < \varepsilon$ .

As  $K$  is a frontal set, from Theorem 2.10 we can infer that  $K$  is also a strictly interpolating set (i.e.  $\rho_K$  is an isometric isomorphism). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f|K\| &= \|\rho_K(\varphi_K)\| = \|\rho_K(\hat{f})\| \\ &= \inf \{\|f + h\| : h \in \mathcal{I}_K \cap F\} \\ &\geq \inf \{\|f + h\| : h \in \mathcal{I}_K\} \\ &= p_{\mathcal{I}_K}(f) = \|\bar{f}\|_X \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds true.

**3.4 Theorem.** *Suppose there are given a vector subspace  $F$  of  $E$ , a  $\mathcal{V}_0$ -frontal ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (with respect to  $F$ ), an element  $x \in F$ , a closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $E$  such that  $x \in \overline{\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}}$ , a continuous and solid seminorm  $p$  on  $E$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a  $\bar{x} \in F$  with the following properties:*

$$\bar{x} - x \in \mathcal{I}, \quad p(\bar{x}) \leq p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\mathcal{J}}(\bar{x}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

*If in addition  $F$  is complete,  $p$  is an (AM)-type seminorm and  $p(x) > 0$ , then  $p(\bar{x}) = p_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x \in \overline{\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}}$ , and  $p$  is a continuous seminorm on  $E$ , one can find a  $u \in \mathcal{I}$  and a  $v \in \mathcal{J}$  such that

$$p(x - u - v) \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

According to the definition of  $p_{\mathcal{I}}$ , one can also find a  $w \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $p(x + w) \leq p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) + \varepsilon/2$ . Put

$$y = (|v| + |x - u - v|) \wedge |x + w|.$$

Then  $(|x| - y)_+ \in \mathcal{I}$ , which can be proved by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, based on the lattice homomorphism  $\pi : E \rightarrow E/\mathcal{I}$ .

As  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_0$ -frontal ideal with respect to  $F$ , one can find a  $\bar{x} \in F$  such that

$$\bar{x} - x \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } p((|\bar{x}| - y)_+) \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

On the other hand,

$$(|\bar{x}| - y)_+ + |x + w| \geq (|\bar{x}| - y)_+ + y = |\bar{x}| \vee y \geq |\bar{x}|.$$

Since  $p$  is a solid seminorm, it results

$$\begin{aligned} p(\bar{x}) &\leq p((|\bar{x}| - y)_+) + p(x + w) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= p_{\mathcal{I}}(x) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mathcal{J}}(\bar{x}) &\leq p_{\mathcal{J}}((|\bar{x}| - y)_+) + p_{\mathcal{J}}(x + w) \\ &\leq p_{\mathcal{J}}((|\bar{x}| - y)_+) + p_{\mathcal{J}}(|v| + |x - u - v|) \\ &\leq p_{\mathcal{J}}((|\bar{x}| - y)_+) + p_{\mathcal{J}}(x - u - v) \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The second part of our statement is a consequence of Theorem 3.3 above.  $\blacksquare$

## 4. THE CASE OF WEIGHTED SPACES

The aim of this section is to indicate an application of Theorem 3.3.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let  $V$  be a *Nachbin family* on  $E$  i.e., a set of nonnegative upper semicontinuous functions on  $X$ , such that for every  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  and every  $\lambda > 0$  there is  $v \in V$  such that  $v_1, v_2 \leq \lambda v$ . We shall denote by  $CV_0(X)$  the corresponding *weighted space*,

$$CV_0(X) = \{f \in C(X) : fv \text{ vanishes at infinity for every } v \in V\}.$$

The *weighted topology* on  $CV_0(X)$ , denoted by  $\omega_V$ , is determined by the seminorms  $(p_v)_{v \in V}$ , where

$$p_v(f) = \sup \{|f(x)|v(x) : x \in X\} \quad \text{for } f \in CV_0(X);$$

$\omega_V$  is a locally convex topology and a basis of open neighborhoods of the origin consists of the sets

$$D_v = \{f \in CV_0(X) : p_v(f) < 1\}.$$

This way,  $CV_0(X)$  appears as a locally convex locally solid vector lattice of  $(AM)$ -type.

A result due to Summers [10] asserts that there is a linear isomorphism between the topological dual of  $CV_0(X)$  and the vector subspace  $VM_b(X)$ , where  $M_b(X)$  denotes the space of all bounded Radon measures on  $X$ . According to Proposition 3.8 of [4],  $CV_0(X)$  is metrizable if and only if there exists a countable subset  $W \subset V$  with the property that for every  $v \in V$  there are  $w \in W$  and  $r > 0$  such that  $v \leq rw$ . Notice also that a metrizable weighted space is complete if and only if for every  $x \in X$  there are  $w \in W$  and  $r > 0$  such that  $v \geq r$  on a neighborhood of  $x$ . Cf. [4], Corollary 3.11.

On the other hand, a result due to C. Partenier (see [4], Lemma 3.8) asserts that for every closed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $CV_0(X)$  there exists a closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that

$$\mathcal{I} = \{f \in CV_0(X) : f|_Y = 0\}.$$

Therefore, there exists a one-to-one between the family of all closed ideals of  $CV_0(X)$  and the family of all closed subsets of  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $V$  is the family of all positive constants, then  $CV_0(X) = C(X)$  and the weighted topology coincides with the uniform topology of  $C(X)$ .

**4.1 Definition.** Let  $CV_0(X)$  be a metrizable weighted space and let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $CV_0(X)$ . A closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is said to be a *strictly interpolating set* with respect to  $F$  if

$$(D_v|_Y) \cap (F|_Y) = (D_v \cap F)|_Y \quad \text{for every } v \in V.$$

From Theorem 1 of [8] we infer that a closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is strictly interpolating (with respect to  $F$ ) if

$$\mathbf{1}_Y F^\circ \subset F^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad F/(F \cap \mathcal{I}_Y) \text{ is complete.}$$

**4.2 Definition.** Let  $CV_0(X)$  be a metrizable weighted space and let  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $CV_0(X)$ . A closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is said to be a *frontal set* (with respect to  $F$ ) if it verifies the following condition:

Given  $f \in F$ ,  $g \in CV_0(X)$  with  $g \geq |f|$  on  $Y$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $v \in V$ , there exists a  $\bar{f} \in F$  such that

$$\bar{f}|_Y = f|_Y \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{f}(x)|v(x) \leq g(x)v(x) + \varepsilon \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

According to Theorem 3.3, the following result works:

**4.3 Proposition.** *Let  $CV_0(X)$  be a metrizable weighted space and let  $F$  be a complete vector subspace of  $CV_0(X)$ . Suppose there are given a closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$ , and the functions  $f \in F$ ,  $g \in CV_0(X)$  with  $g \geq |f|$  on  $Y$ , and  $v \in V$  with  $p_v(f) > 0$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\bar{f} \in F$  such that*

$$\bar{f}|_Y = f|_Y, \quad |\bar{f}|v \leq gv + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{f}v\|_X \leq \|fv\|_Y.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bernard A., Caractérisation de certaines parties d'une algèbre de fonctions continues, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble*, **17**, 2 (1967), 359-382.
- [2] Bishop E., A general Rudin-Carlson theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **13** (1962), 140-143.
- [3] Cristescu R., *Topological vector spaces*, Nordhoff, Leyden, 1977.
- [4] Goulet de Rugy A., Espaces de fonctions pondérables, *Israel J. Math.*, **12** (1972), 147-160.
- [5] Nachbin L., Weighted approximation for algebras and modules of continuous functions, real and self-adjoint complex cases, *Ann. of Math.*, **81** (1965), 289-302.
- [6] Niculescu C. P., Păltineanu G. and Vuza D. T., Interpolation and approximation from the  $M$ -theory point of view, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **38** (1993), 521-544.
- [7] Păltineanu G., *Elements of approximation theory of continuous functions*, Ed. Academiei, Bucharest, 1982. (Romanian)
- [8] Păltineanu G. and Vuza D. T., *Interpolating ideals in locally convex lattices*, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **41** (1996), 397-406.
- [9] Prolla J. B., *Approximation of vector valued functions*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [10] Summers W. H., Dual spaces of weighted spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **151** (1970), 323-333.

Constantin P. Niculescu: University of Craiova, Department of Mathematics,  
Craiova 1100, ROMANIA. E-mail address: tempus@oltenia.ro

Gavril Păltineanu: Technical University of Civil Engineering, Department of  
Mathematics, Bucharest, ROMANIA. E-mail address: npalt@hidro.utcb.ro

Dan Tudor Vuza: Institute of Mathematics, Romanian Academy.  
E-mail address: danvuza@hotmail.com