

On a class of quasilinear eigenvalue problems on unbounded domains

By

FLORICA-CORINA ŞT. CÎRSTEA and VICENŢIU D. RĂDULESCU

Abstract. We prove several existence and non-existence results for a quasilinear eigenvalue problem with nonlinear boundary condition on unbounded domain. Our paper extends previous results obtained in Chabrowski [1] and Pflüger [4].

1. Preliminaries. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an unbounded domain with smooth boundary Γ . We assume throughout this paper that p, q, r and α_1 are real numbers satisfying

$$(1) \quad 1 < p < N, \quad \max\{p, 2\} < q < r < p^* := \frac{pN}{N-p}, \quad -N < \alpha_1 < q \cdot \frac{N-p}{p} - N.$$

Denote by $C_\delta^\infty(\Omega)$ the space of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ – functions restricted to Ω . We define the weighted Sobolev space E as the completion of $C_\delta^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$\|u\|_E = \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^p + \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^p} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Denote by $L^q(\Omega; w_1)$ and $L^m(\Gamma; w_2)$ the weighted Lebesgue spaces with respect to

$$(2) \quad w_i(x) = (1+|x|)^{\alpha_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

and norms

$$\|u\|_{q,w_1}^q = \int_\Omega w_1 |u(x)|^q dx \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{m,w_2}^m = \int_\Gamma w_2 |u(x)|^m d\Gamma.$$

Proposition 1. *Assume (1) holds. Then the embedding $E \subset L^q(\Omega; w_1)$ is compact. If*

$$(3) \quad p \leq m \leq p \cdot \frac{N-1}{N-p} \quad \text{and} \quad -N < \alpha_2 \leq m \cdot \frac{N-p}{p} - N + 1,$$

then the trace operator $E \rightarrow L^m(\Gamma; w_2)$ is continuous. If the upper bounds for m in (3) are strict, then the trace operator is compact.

This proposition is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Corollary 6 of [5].

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 35J60, 35P30, 58E05, 58G28.

We assume throughout that $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $b \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$ such that

$$(4) \quad a(x) \geq a_0 > 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

$$(5) \quad \frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^{p-1}} \leq b(x) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |x|)^{p-1}}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Gamma, \text{ where } c, C > 0.$$

Lemma 1. *The quantity*

$$\|u\|_b^p = \int_\Omega a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx + \int_\Gamma b(x)|u|^p d\Gamma$$

defines an equivalent norm on E .

For the proof of this result we refer to [4], Lemma 2.

Let $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive and continuous function satisfying

$$(6) \quad \int_\Omega \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx < \infty.$$

We assume that $g : \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function that satisfies the following conditions:

(g1) $g(\cdot, 0) = 0, \quad g(x, s) + g(x, -s) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Gamma$ and for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

(g2) $|g(x, s)| \leq g_0(x) + g_1(x)|s|^{m-1}; \quad p \leq m < p \cdot \frac{N-1}{N-p}$, where g_i are nonnegative, measurable functions such that

$$0 \leq g_i(x) \leq C_g w_2 \text{ a.e., } \quad g_0 \in L^{m/(m-1)}(\Gamma; w_2^{1/(1-m)}),$$

where $-N < \alpha_2 < m \cdot \frac{N-p}{p} - N + 1$ and w_2 is defined as in (2).

Set $G(x, s) = \int_0^s g(x, t) dt$. We denote by N_g, N_G the corresponding Nemytskii operators.

Lemma 2. *The operators*

$$N_g : L^m(\Gamma; w_2) \rightarrow L^{m/(m-1)}(\Gamma; w_2^{1/(1-m)}), \quad N_G : L^m(\Gamma; w_2) \rightarrow L^1(\Gamma)$$

are bounded and continuous.

Proof. Let $m' = m/(m - 1)$ and $u \in L^m(\Gamma; w_2)$. Then, by (g2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Gamma |N_g(u)|^{m'} \cdot w_2^{1/(1-m)} d\Gamma \leq \\ & 2^{m'-1} \left(\int_\Gamma g_0^{m'} \cdot w_2^{1/(1-m)} d\Gamma + \int_\Gamma g_1^{m'} |u|^m \cdot w_2^{1/(1-m)} d\Gamma \right) \leq \\ & 2^{m'-1} \left(C + \widetilde{C}_g \cdot \int_\Gamma |u|^m \cdot w_2 d\Gamma \right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that N_g is bounded. In a similar way we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma} |N_G(u)| d\Gamma \leq \int_{\Gamma} g_0 |u| d\Gamma + \frac{1}{m} \int_{\Gamma} g_1 |u|^m d\Gamma \leq \left(\int_{\Gamma} g_0^{m'} \cdot w_2^{1/(1-m)} d\Gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma} |u|^m \cdot w_2 d\Gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} + \frac{C_g}{m} \cdot \int_{\Gamma} |u|^m \cdot w_2 d\Gamma$$

and the boundedness of N_G follows.

From the usual properties of Nemytskii operators we deduce the continuity of N_g and N_G . \square

Define the Banach space

$$X = \left\{ u \in E : \int_{\Omega} h(x) |u|^r dx < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_X^p = \|u\|_b^p + \left(\int_{\Omega} h(x) |u(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r}}.$$

For $\lambda > 0$, consider the problem

$$(1_{\lambda, \theta}) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} (a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + h(x) |u|^{r-2} u = \lambda (1 + |x|)^{\alpha_1} |u|^{q-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \\ a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot n + b(x) \cdot |u|^{p-2} u = \theta g(x, u) & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ u \geq 0, u \not\equiv 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

The energy functional corresponding to $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ is given by $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u|^p d\Gamma - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^q dx + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} h(x) |u|^r dx - \theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma.$$

Proposition 1 implies that Φ is well defined. Solutions to problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ will be found as critical points of Φ . Therefore, a function $u \in X$ is a solution of the problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ provided that, for any $v \in X$,

$$\int_{\Omega} a |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma} b |u|^{p-2} uv = \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^{q-2} uv - \int_{\Omega} h |u|^{r-2} uv + \theta \int_{\Gamma} gv.$$

Problems of this type are considered in the study of physical phenomena related to equilibrium of anisotropic continuous media which possible are somewhere “perfect” insulators, cf. [2].

2. Main results and proofs.

Theorem 1. *Assume hypotheses (1), (4), (5), (6), (g1) and (g2) hold. Then there exist real numbers θ_* , θ^* and $\lambda^* > 0$ such that Problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ has no nontrivial solution, provided that $\theta_* < \theta < \theta^*$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$.*

Proof. Suppose that u is a solution in X of $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$. Then u satisfies

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} b(x)|u|^p d\Gamma - \theta \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} h(x)|u|^r dx \\ & = \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda w_1}{h^{q/r}} \cdot h^{q/r} |u|^q dx \\ &\leq \frac{r-q}{r} \lambda^{r/(r-q)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx + \frac{q}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx. \end{aligned}$$

This combined with (7) gives

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma &\leq \frac{r-q}{r} \lambda^{r/(r-q)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx + \frac{q-r}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx \\ &\leq \frac{r-q}{r} \lambda^{r/(r-q)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$A = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma < 0 \right\}, \quad B = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma > 0 \right\}$$

$$(9) \quad \theta_* = \sup_{u \in A} \frac{\|u\|_b^p}{\int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma}, \quad \theta^* = \inf_{u \in B} \frac{\|u\|_b^p}{\int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma}$$

We introduce the convention that if $A = \emptyset$ then $\theta_* = -\infty$ and if $B = \emptyset$ then $\theta^* = +\infty$.

We show that if we take $\theta_* < \theta < \theta^*$ then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$(10) \quad C_0 \|u\|_b^p \leq \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma \text{ for all } u \in X.$$

If $\theta < \theta^*$ then there exists a constant $C_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\theta \leq (1 - C_1)\theta^* \leq (1 - C_1) \frac{\|u\|_b^p}{\int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma} \text{ for all } u \in B$$

which implies

$$(11) \quad \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma \geq C_1 \|u\|_b^p \text{ for all } u \in B.$$

If $\theta_* < \theta$ then there exists a constant $C_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1 - C_2) \frac{\|u\|_b^p}{\int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma} \leq (1 - C_2)\theta_* \leq \theta \text{ for all } u \in A$$

which yields

$$(12) \quad \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u)u d\Gamma \geq C_2 \|u\|_b^p \text{ for all } u \in A.$$

From (11) and (12) we conclude that

$$\|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) u \, d\Gamma \geq \min\{C_1, C_2\} \|u\|_b^p \quad \text{for all } u \in X$$

and taking $C_0 = \min\{C_1, C_2\}$ we obtain (10).

By (7), (10) and Proposition 1 we have

$$(13) \quad C_0 \bar{C} \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C_0 \|u\|_b^p \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^q \, dx,$$

for some constant $\bar{C} > 0$. This inequality implies

$$(\bar{C} \lambda^{-1} C_0)^{q/(q-p)} \leq \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^q \, dx$$

which combined with (13) yields

$$C_0 \bar{C} (\bar{C} \lambda^{-1} C_0)^{p/(q-p)} \leq C_0 \|u\|_b^p.$$

Combining this with (8) and (10) we obtain

$$C_0 \bar{C} (\bar{C} \lambda^{-1} C_0)^{p/(q-p)} \leq \frac{r-q}{r} \lambda^{r/(r-q)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} \, dx.$$

If we take

$$\lambda^* = \left((C_0 \bar{C})^{q/(q-p)} \frac{r}{r-q} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} \, dx \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{(r-q)(q-p)}{q(r-p)}}$$

the result follows. \square

Set

$$U = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \, d\Gamma < 0 \right\}, \quad V = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \, d\Gamma > 0 \right\}$$

$$(14) \quad \theta_- = \sup_{u \in U} \frac{\|u\|_b^p}{p \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \, d\Gamma}, \quad \theta^+ = \inf_{u \in V} \frac{\|u\|_b^p}{p \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \, d\Gamma}$$

If $U = \emptyset$ (resp. $V = \emptyset$) then we set $\theta_- = -\infty$ (resp. $\theta^+ = +\infty$). Proceeding in the same manner as we did for proving (10) we can show that if we take $\theta_- < \theta < \theta^+$ then there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \, d\Gamma \geq c \|u\|_b^p \quad \text{for all } u \in X.$$

We shall employ in what follows the following elementary inequality

$$(16) \quad k|u|^\beta - h|u|^\gamma \leq C_{\beta,\gamma} k \left(\frac{k}{h} \right)^{\beta/(\gamma-\beta)} \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \forall h, k \in (0, \infty), \forall 0 < \beta < \gamma.$$

Proposition 2. *If $\theta_- < \theta < \theta^+$ then the functional Φ is coercive.*

Proof. By virtue of (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} |u|^q w_1 - \frac{h}{2r} |u|^r \right) dx &\leq C_{r,q} \int_{\Omega} \lambda w_1 \left(\frac{\lambda w_1}{h} \right)^{q/(r-q)} dx \\ &= C_{r,q} \lambda^{r/(r-q)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (15) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} |u|^q w_1 - \frac{h}{2r} |u|^r \right) dx + \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} h |u|^r dx \\ &\geq c \|u\|_b^p + \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\Omega} h |u|^r dx - C_1 \end{aligned}$$

and the coercivity of Φ follows. \square

Proposition 3. *Suppose $\theta_- < \theta < \theta^+$ and let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\Phi(u_n)$ is bounded. Then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, relabelled again by $\{u_n\}$, such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in X and*

$$\Phi(u_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(u_n).$$

Proof. Since Φ is coercive in X we see that the boundedness of $\Phi(u_n)$ implies that $\|u_n\|_b$ and $\int_{\Omega} h |u_n|^r dx$ are bounded. From Proposition 1 we know that the embedding $E \subset L^q(\Omega; w_1)$ is compact and using the fact that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in E and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^q(\Omega; w_1)$.

Set $F(x, u) = \frac{\lambda}{q} |u|^q w_1 - \frac{1}{r} h |u|^r$ and $f(x, u) = F_u(x, u)$. A simple computation yields

$$(17) \quad f_u(x, u) = (q-1)\lambda |u|^{q-2} w_1 - (r-1)h |u|^{r-2} \leq C_{r,q} \lambda w_1 \left(\frac{\lambda w_1}{h} \right)^{(q-2)/(r-q)}$$

where the last inequality follows from (16). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u_0) - \Phi(u_n) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_0|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u_0|^p d\Gamma - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n|^p dx - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u_n|^p d\Gamma - \theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_0) d\Gamma + \theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} (F(x, u_n) - \\ &\quad F(x, u_0)) dx = \frac{1}{p} (\|u_0\|_b^p - \|u_n\|_b^p) + \theta \left(\int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_0) d\Gamma \right) + \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^{1s} f_u(x, u_0 + t(u_n - u_0)) dt ds \right) (u_n - u_0)^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{p} (\|u_0\|_b^p - \|u_n\|_b^p) + \\ &\quad \theta \left(\int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_0) d\Gamma \right) + C_2 \int_{\Omega} (u_n - u_0)^2 \frac{w_1^{(r-2)/(r-q)}}{h^{(q-2)/(r-q)}} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 = \frac{1}{2} C_{r,q} \lambda^{(r-2)/(r-q)}$. We show that the last integral tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, applying Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_n - u_0)^2 \frac{w_1^{(r-2)/(r-q)}}{h^{(q-2)/(r-q)}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx \right)^{(q-2)/q} \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_n - u_0|^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^q(\Omega; w_1)$ we have

$$(18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (u_n - u_0)^2 \frac{w_1^{(r-2)/(r-q)}}{h^{(q-2)/(r-q)}} dx = 0.$$

The compactness of the trace operator $E \rightarrow L^m(\Gamma; w_2)$ and the continuity of the Nemytskii operator $N_G : L^m(\Gamma; w_2) \rightarrow L^1(\Gamma)$ imply $N_G(u_n) \rightarrow N_G(u_0)$ in $L^1(\Gamma)$ i.e. $\int_{\Gamma} |N_G(u_n) - N_G(u_0)| d\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$(19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_0) d\Gamma.$$

Since the norm in E is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak topology our conclusion follows from (18) and (19). \square

Proposition 4. *If $\theta_* < \theta < \theta^*$ and u is a solution of Problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$, then*

$$C_0 \|u\|_b^p + \frac{r-q}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx \leq \frac{r-q}{r} \lambda^{r/(r-q)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx$$

and

$$\|u\|_b \geq K \lambda^{-1/(q-p)},$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant independent of u .

Proof. If u is a solution of $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) u d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u|^q dx \leq \\ & \frac{r-q}{r} \lambda^{r/(r-q)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx + \frac{q}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (10) we obtain the first part of the assertion.

From Proposition 1 we have that there exists $C_q > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega; w_1)}^q \leq C_q \|u\|_b^q, \text{ for all } u \in E.$$

This inequality and (10) imply

$$\|u\|_b \geq C_0^{1/(q-p)} C_q^{-1/(q-p)} \lambda^{-1/(q-p)}$$

and taking $K = C_0^{1/(q-p)} C_q^{-1/(q-p)}$ the second part follows. \square

Theorem 2. *Assume hypotheses (1), (4), (5), (6), (g1) and (g2) hold. Set $\underline{\theta} = \max\{\theta_*, \theta_-\}$, if $g(x, \cdot)$ is odd, and $\underline{\theta} = 0$ elsewhere, $\bar{\theta} = \min\{\theta^*, \theta^+\}$. Suppose that $J = (\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}) \neq \Phi$. There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that the following hold:*

- (i) *Problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ admits a nontrivial solution, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and every $\theta \in J$;*
- (ii) *Problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ does not have any nontrivial solution, provided that $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ and $\theta \in J$.*

Proof. According to Propositions 2 and 3, Φ is coercive and lower semicontinuous. Therefore there exists $\tilde{u} \in X$ such that $\Phi(\tilde{u}) = \inf_X \Phi(u)$. To ensure that $\tilde{u} \neq 0$ we shall prove that $\inf_X \Phi < 0$. Set

$$\tilde{\lambda} := \inf \left\{ \frac{q}{p} \|u\|_b^p - q\theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma + \frac{q}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx : u \in X, \int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx = 1 \right\}.$$

First we check that $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$. For this aim we consider the constrained minimization problem

$$M := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} b(x)|u|^p d\Gamma : u \in E, \int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly, $M > 0$. Since X is embedded in E , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} b(x)|u|^p d\Gamma \cong M$$

for all $u \in X$ with $\int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx = 1$. Now, applying the Hölder inequality we find

$$(20) \quad 1 = \int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1}{h^{q/r}} h^{q/r} |u|^q dx \cong \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx \right)^{(r-q)/r} \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx \right)^{\frac{q}{r}}.$$

Relation (15) implies

$$\frac{q}{p} \|u\|_b^p - q\theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma \cong qc \|u\|_b^p.$$

By virtue of (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q}{p} \|u\|_b^p - q\theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma + \frac{q}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx &\cong qc \|u\|_b^p + \frac{q}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx \cong \\ qcM + \frac{q}{r} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx \right)^{-(r-q)/q} \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in X$ with $\int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx = 1$. It follows that

$$\tilde{\lambda} \cong qcM + \frac{q}{r} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{w_1^{r/(r-q)}}{h^{q/(r-q)}} dx \right)^{-(r-q)/q}$$

and our claim follows.

Let $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$. Then there exists a function $u \in X$ with $\int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx = 1$ such that

$$\lambda > \frac{q}{p} \|u\|_b^p - q\theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma + \frac{q}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \theta \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) d\Gamma + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} h|u|^r dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} w_1|u|^q dx < 0$$

and consequently $\inf_{u \in X} \Phi(u) < 0$. By Propositions 2 and 3 it follows that the problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ has a solution.

We set

$$\lambda_0 = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : (1_{\lambda, \theta}) \text{ admits a solution}\}.$$

Suppose $\lambda_0 = 0$. Then taking $\lambda_1 \in (0, \lambda^*)$ (where λ^* is given by Theorem 1) we have that there is $\bar{\lambda}$ such that the problem $(1_{\bar{\lambda}, \theta})$ admits a solution. But this is a contradiction, according to Theorem 1. Consequently, $\lambda_0 > 0$.

We now show that for each $\lambda > \lambda_0$ problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$ admits a solution. Indeed, for every $\lambda > \lambda_0$ there exists $\rho \in (\lambda_0, \lambda)$ such that problem $(1_{\rho, \theta})$ has a solution u_ρ which is a subsolution of problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$. We consider the variational problem

$$\inf\{\Phi(u) : u \in X \text{ and } u \geq u_\rho\}.$$

By Propositions 2 and 3 this problem admits a solution \bar{u} . This minimizer \bar{u} is a solution of problem $(1_{\lambda, \theta})$. Since the hypothesis $g(x, s) + g(x, -s) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Gamma$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ implies that $G(x, |\bar{u}|) \geq G(x, \bar{u})$ (that is, $\Phi(|\bar{u}|) \leq \Phi(\bar{u})$) we may assume that $\bar{u} \geq 0$ on Ω . It remains to show that problem $(1_{\lambda_0, \theta})$ has also a solution. Let $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ and $\lambda_n > \lambda_0$ for each n . Problem $(1_{\lambda_n, \theta})$ has a solution u_n for each n . By Proposition 4 the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X . Therefore we may assume that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in X and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^q(\Omega; w_1)$. We have that u_0 is a solution of $(1_{\lambda_0, \theta})$. Since u_n and u_0 are solutions of $(1_{\lambda_n, \theta})$ and $(1_{\lambda_0, \theta})$, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0) dx + \\ & \int_{\Gamma} b(x)(|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_0|^{p-2} u_0)(u_n - u_0) d\Gamma + \\ & \int_{\Omega} h(|u_n|^{r-2} u_n - |u_0|^{r-2} u_0)(u_n - u_0) dx = \\ & \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} w_1 (|u_n|^{q-2} u_n - |u_0|^{q-2} u_0)(u_n - u_0) dx + \\ & (\lambda_n - \lambda_0) \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 (u_n - u_0) dx + \\ & \theta \int_{\Gamma} (g(x, u_n) - g(x, u_0))(u_n - u_0) d\Gamma = J_{1,n} + J_{2,n} + J_{3,n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,n} &= \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} w_1 (|u_n|^{q-2} u_n - |u_0|^{q-2} u_0)(u_n - u_0) dx, \\ J_{2,n} &= (\lambda_n - \lambda_0) \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 (u_n - u_0) dx, \\ J_{3,n} &= \theta \int_{\Gamma} (g(x, u_n) - g(x, u_0))(u_n - u_0) d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$|J_{1,n}| \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_n|^{q-1} |u_n - u_0| dx + \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_0|^{q-1} |u_n - u_0| dx \right)$$

and it follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{1,n}| & \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_n|^q dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_n - u_0|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \right. \\ & \left. \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_0|^q dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_n - u_0|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We easily observe that $J_{1,n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From the estimate

$$|J_{2,n}| \leq |\lambda_n - \lambda_0| \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_0|^q dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1 |u_n - u_0|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

we obtain that $J_{2,n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Using the compactness of the trace operator $E \rightarrow L^m(\Gamma; w_2)$, the continuity of Nemytskii operator $N_g : L^m(\Gamma; w_2) \rightarrow L^{m/(m-1)}(\Gamma; w_2^{1/(1-m)})$ and the estimate

$$\int_{\Gamma} |g(x, u_n) - g(x, u_0)| \cdot |u_n - u_0| d\Gamma \leq \left(\int_{\Gamma} |g(x, u_n) - g(x, u_0)|^{m/(m-1)} w_2^{1/(1-m)} d\Gamma \right)^{(m-1)/m} \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma} w_2 |u_n - u_0|^m d\Gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

we see that $J_{3,n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We have so proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0) dx + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_0|^{p-2} u_0) (u_n - u_0) d\Gamma \right) = 0.$$

Applying the inequality (see [3], Lemma 4.10)

$$|\xi - \zeta|^p \leq C(|\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta) (\xi - \zeta), \quad \forall \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall p \geq 2$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_0\|_b^p &= \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) |u_n - u_0|^p dx \leq \\ &C \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0) dx + \right. \\ &\left. \int_{\Gamma} b(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_0|^{p-2} u_0) (u_n - u_0) d\Gamma \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\|u_n\|_b \rightarrow \|u_0\|_b$. If $1 < p < 2$ we use a similar argument based on the inequality $|\xi - \zeta|^2 \leq C(|\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta) (\xi - \zeta) (|\xi| + |\zeta|)^{2-p}$. By Proposition 4 we have $u_0 \neq 0$. This concludes our proof. \square

References

- [1] J. CHABROWSKI, Elliptic variational problems with indefinite nonlinearities. *Topological Meth. Nonlinear Anal.* **9**, 221–231 (1997).
- [2] R. DAUTRAY and J.-L. LIONS, *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, Vol. 1: Physical Origins and Classical Methods. Berlin 1985.
- [3] J. I. DIAZ, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries*. Elliptic Equations. Boston 1986.
- [4] K. PFLÜGER, Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a p -Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary condition. *Electronic J. Differential Equations* **10**, 1–13 (1998).
- [5] K. PFLÜGER, Compact traces in weighted Sobolev spaces. *Analysis* **18**, 65–83 (1998).

Eingegangen am 10. 12. 1999

Anschrift der Autoren:

Florică-Corina Șt. Cîrstea and Vicențiu D. Rădulescu
Department of Mathematics
University of Craiova
1100 Craiova
Romania